

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,002	9/847,002 05/01/2001		Hyung-Chul Kim	678-600 (P9393)	4813	
28249	7590	11/18/2004		EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553				NGUYE	NGUYEN, LE V	
			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2174		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/847,002	KIM, HYUNG-CHUL					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Le Nguyen	2174					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	oelow);	·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See continuation sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	2	rustine Vincaid					

.

. . .)

SUPERVISORY PAT TECHNOLOGY ER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. Note: the new issue being editing a menu configuration by newly selecting submenus of the menu configuration submenu; inputting titles of the newly selected submenus; storing the edited menu configuration with a title input by a user; and changing the main menu configuration displayed on a display of the mobile telephone to the edited menu configuration selected by the user.

Furthermore, applicant argued the following:

- (a) the examiner has also rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Smethers and has provided no explanation of this rejection;
- (b) "editing a main menu configuration" is recited in the claims, which Smith does not teach; and,
- (c) neither Smith nor Smethers teach downloading menu icon data.

The examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

- (a) the examiner rejected claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith;
- (b) claim 6 cites editing a main menu configuration, which Smith does teach, i.e. "a" main menu configuration is editable, as explained in the previous office action (figs. 8A and 13A; col. 9, line 66 through col. 10, line 8; an editable directory main menu is described wherein editing includes changing the arrangement/configuration of the directory such as by editing/adding a new entry); and,
- (c) the modified Smith teaches downloading menu icon data (Smith: figs. 18(a-b); shown are sample screens for receiving an EBC with icons depicted such as house icon, business buildings icon and mobile phone icon wherein icon data is inherent in order for the icons to be displayed; Smethers: col. 5, lines 14-18; described is a mobile telephone coupled to a server connected to an IP network).